

#### **Phase Control of Chaos**

#### **Riccardo Meucci**

- CNR-Istituto Nazionale di Ottica- Firenze, Italia.
- S. Euzzor, F. T. Arecchi

Universidad Rey Juan Carlos. Móstoles, Madrid, España.

I. P. Mariño, I. Leyva, J. Seoane, S. Zambrano and M. A. F. Sanjuán.

### Outline

- 1. Introduction.
- 2. Phase control of chaos.
- 3. Phase control of escapes.
- 4. Phase control of a neuron model.
- 5. Conclusions.

## 1.1 Introduction: The phase control scheme



Scheme proposed in Qu et al. PRL 74 1736 (1995)



# **1.2.** Applications.

We show that this scheme can be used:

- To tame chaotic behavior.
- To avoid escapes in a system with chaos and divergences to infinity (boundary crisis).
- To control the dynamics in a Neuron Model.



## **2.2.** Numerical results: $\lambda$ as a function of $\epsilon$ and $\phi$

We compute the Lyapunov exponent  $\boxed{\lambda}$  for different values of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varphi$ , fixing r







# 2.3. Experimental implementation on a circuit



## 2.4. Experimental results.



#### 3.1 Escapes in a Helmhotz oscillator (I)



## 3.2 Implementation of the phase control scheme

• Aim: avoiding escapes for *F*=0,21.

Implementation of the phase control method:

$$\ddot{x} + 0.1\dot{x} - x - (1 + \epsilon \cos{(t + \phi)})x^2 = 0.21cost$$
Perturbation amplitude (fixed)



## 3.3 Numerical evidence of control of escapes (I)

$$F = F_c = 0.21$$

#### **Diverging trajectory**







arepsilon=0.05 and  $\phi=\pi$ 



## 3.3 Numerical evidence of control of escapes (II)



## 3.4 Experimental implementation (I)

$$\ddot{x} + 0.1\dot{x} - x - (1 + \epsilon \cos(t + \Phi))x^{2} = 0.21 \cos t$$

$$x \propto V_{x}$$

$$\dot{x} \propto V_{y}$$

$$R_{1} = 100 K\Omega, R_{2} = 1M\Omega, R_{3} = 2 K\Omega$$

$$R_{4} = 5 K\Omega \ y \ C_{1} = 10 nF$$

$$V_{d} \approx 200 mV, V_{c} \approx 30 mV$$

$$\varepsilon \approx 0.03$$

$$R_{1} = R_{1} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{1} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{1} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{1} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{1} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{2} = R_{1}$$

$$R_{1} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{2} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{1} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{2} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{1} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{2} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{2} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{1} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{2} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{1} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{2} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{2} = R_{2}$$

$$R_{3} = R_{3}$$

$$R_{4} = SK\Omega + SC$$

## 3.4 Experimental implementation (II)



### 3.5 Experimental phase control of escapes



## 4.1 A neuron model

$$\frac{du_1}{dt} = c(-v_1 + u_1 - (u_1^3/3) + Imp)$$
$$\frac{dv_1}{dt} = u_1 - bv_1 + a,$$

#### FitzHug-Nagumo

$$Imp = A(1+\delta)\sin(\frac{2\pi}{T}t)$$

$$\delta = \epsilon \sin(\frac{2\pi r}{T}t + \Phi)$$
 Phase control (modulation amplitude)



## 4.2 Experimental implementation



Two typical regimes:





# 4.3 Experimental results



21/22

The phase affects the global dynamics

## 4.4 Some numerical results

Average number of spikes when applying a perturbation to the spiking regime



High (low) number implies bursting (non bursting) regime

## 5. Conclusions

 We have shown both numerical and experimentally that the phase control scheme can tame chaotic behavior in the paradigmatic Duffing oscillator.

 We have shown that this method can also be applied to avoid escapes in the paradigmatic Helhmoltz oscillator.



 We have shown that it can change the global bursting dynamics on a neuron model.